

## REMARKS

Claim 2 has been amended to properly identify the piston referred to in line 4 with numeral 18, as used in claim 1. Claim 5 has been amended to depend from claim 4 and thereby provide an antecedent basis for the term "said bridge wire". These changes are unrelated to the Office Action identified above.

### Restriction Requirement

The Office Communication mailed on June 23, 2008 in the above-identified patent application contained a restriction requirement contending that the claims were directed to more than one species of the generic invention. The applicant is required to provisionally elect one of the species and the claims related thereto, to which the prosecution will be restricted if a generic claim is not finally held allowable. The Office Action identified the following two species:

I. Figures 1-6, and

II. Figures 7-9.

Applicant hereby elects Species I depicted in Figures 1-6 to which claims 1-8 and 10-16 relate. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are generic as covering both species identified above. Applicant reserves the right to request rejoinder upon a generic claim being found allowable.

Consideration and allowance of the application are requested.

Dated: July 10, 2008

Quarles & Brady LLP  
411 E. Wisconsin Avenue Suite 2040  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497

Telephone (414) 277-5751

Respectfully submitted,  
Günter Julius Pelz  
By: /George E. Haas/  
George E. Haas  
Registration No. 27,642

QBACTIVE\6334770.1